Page 7/14

PTO/S8/30 (09-03)
PTO/S8/30 (09-03) tinder the Especycok Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a odlaction of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Request 10/077-669 Application Number for 02/15/2002 Filing Date Continued Examination (RCE) Yann Limelette First Named Inventor Transmittal Address to: 2876 Art Unit Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents Walsh, Daniel i Examiner Name P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 75.0715 Attorney Docket Number This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 9. 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheat for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s). Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outsignding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Rely Brief previously filed on Other 1 Enclosed Amendment/Reply (PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT) information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Affidavit(s)/ Declaration(s) il. Other Patition to Revive Miscellaneous Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1,103(c) for a \_\_months; (Period of suspension shall not exceed 5 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1/17() required) Fees The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, of credit any overpayments, to. Deposit Account No. 502 114 RCE fee required under 37 CFR 4 17(e) Extension of time fee (37 CFR 1.135 and 1.17) Ė. Cther fii: Check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_ Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED Name (Adol/Typa). Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 35,759 Pehi Jansson Date | MARCH 19 2004 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION Thereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Rostel Service with sufficient postage as first mass mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. Name (Print/Type) Pehr Jansson, Reg. No. 35,759

Signature 1339 MAR LET 17 Long
This cohecitor of information is required to optain or retain a benefit by the public which is to the under the USPTO for process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is assimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual base. Any comments on the amount of time your recution to complete his form and/or suggestions for recuting told burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patern and Triggerish Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Paterns, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

MARCH 19, 2004

Date

fi you need assistance in completing the form; call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

10/077.669

Statement Establishing Unintentional Delay

PATENT

RECEIVED

Appl. No.

10/077,669

Conf. No. : 3000

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Applicant

Yann Limelette, et. al

Art Unit : 2876

MAR 1 9 2004

Filed

02/15/2002

TC/AU

2876

Examiner

Daniel Walsh

Docket No.

76:0715

Customer No.:

000041754

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandría, VA 22313-1450 Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. 703-308-6916) on Many 15,200 Y

Typed or printed name of person signing certificate PEHR JANSSON

Signature Cham

## Statement Establishing Unintentional Delay

Sir:

Applicants' undersigned representative first became aware of the present case on March 17, 2004 by e-mail correspondence from Applicants' European agent (Mr. Franck Gabriel), Mr. Gabriel requested that the undersigned file a continuation application in this case. Upon review of the materials provided by the European agent, the undersigned noticed that the six-months period for reply appeared to have expired. The undersigned inquired with a docketing clerk (Mrs. Mary Thompson) employed by Applicants' U.S. affiliate company as what the company patent docketing system revealed as to due dates. Mrs. Thompson informed the undersigned that a due date of March 30th had been assigned for response to the present office action. On a scanned copy of the Advisory

Pehr Jansson Page 5/14

1 678 868 0101

10/077,669 Statement Establishing Unintentional Delay PATENT

3/19/2004

Action issued by the Examiner on December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 and provided to the undersigned by Mr. Gabriel (the European agent), the undersigned has noticed that someone has scribbled faintly (perhaps in pencil) "30-3 2004" and has circled the words "3 months" in the phrase "The period of reply expires three months from the mailing date of the final rejection". Applicants posit that the mistaken docketing of the due date for response and these scribbles indicate a misunderstanding by the person making the docket entry as to from which date the three months period for response starts running.

Naturally, on these facts, Mr. Gabriel could reasonably have thought that the continuation would have been timely if filed before March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the foregoing establishes that the delay beyond the period for response was unintentional.

Respectfully submitted,

Pehr Jansson, Reg. No. 35,759 Attorney for Applicants

Pehr Jansson 7628 Parkview Circle Austin, TX 78731

512 241 0837 pehr@pehrjansson.com